

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,437		01/18/2000	Tongbi Jiang	M4065.0226/P226	M4065.0226/P226 9698 EXAMINER	
24998	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM		
		PIRO MORIN & O	MITCHELL	MITCHELL, JAMES M		
2101 L Str Washingto	,	0037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ö	,			2813		
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/484,437	JIANG, TONGBI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James M. Mitchell	2813		

	James M. Mitchell	2813						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause					
 (a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or	nor rotting to appour by materially ro	adomig or ompinying						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, , ,	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	•	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-9,11,12,14,16-20 and 33-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>41-50</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<u> </u>	· · · —						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s),						
13. Other:	•	11 1 mll. 4						
		all Mitel	redt					
		CARL WHITEHEAD,	JR.					
7 //sl	Si	IPERVISORY PATENT E	#					
7-614)		TECHNOLOGY CENTE						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although applicant contends that Forray does not show the claimed glassy temperature or use of its adhesive with a solder mask, Forray shows use of a bismaelimide adhesive which is the same material as applicant and therefore establishes a prima facie case of having the same properties. Similarly, because Forray explicitly teaches in paragraph 0048 use of its adhesive with a solder mask, applicant's contention that it does not show such a use is unpersuasive. Lastly, in regards to applicant's filing of a 1.131 declaration, because applicant did not file the declaration timely it shall not be admitted. See MPEP 715.09 [R-3].